arXiv:1401.2725v1 [math.AG] 13 Jan 2014

THE MODULAR GROUP FOR THE TOTAL ANCESTOR POTENTIAL OF FERMAT SIMPLE ELLIPTIC SINGULARITIES

TODOR MILANOV AND YEFENG SHEN

Abstract. In a series of papers [14, 17], Krawitz, Milanov, Ruan, and Shen have verified the so-called Landau-Ginzburg/Calabi-Yau (LG/CY) correspondence for simple elliptic singularities $E_N^{(1,1)}$ ($N = 6, 7, 8$). As a byproduct it was also proved that the orbifold Gromov–Witten invariants of the orbifold projective lines $\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}$, $\mathbb{P}^1_{4,4,2}$, and $\mathbb{P}^1_{6,3,2}$ are quasi-modular forms on an appropriate modular group. While the modular group for $\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}$ is $\Gamma(3)$, the modular groups in the other two cases were left unknown. The goal of this paper is to prove that the modular groups in the remaining two cases are respectively $\Gamma(4)$ and $\Gamma(6)$.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. The total ancestor potential in singularity theory 4
3. Modularity and monodromy 9
4. The modular groups $\Gamma(W)$ 17
References 27

1. Introduction

Let $W(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2} + x_3^{a_3}$ be a Fermat polynomial whose exponents (a_1, a_2, a_3) are given by one of the following triples $(3, 3, 3)$, $(4, 4, 2)$, or $(6, 3, 2)$. Here we use the notation $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$. Since such a polynomial W defines a hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^3$ that has a simple-elliptic singularity at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^3$, we will sometimes refer to it as an *elliptic* Fermat polynomial. We assign weights $q_i = 1/a_i$ to each variable x_i, so that W becomes a quasi-homogeneous polynomial of degree 1.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14N35; Secondary 33C75, 33C05.

1.1. **Formulation of the main results.** Let $H = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]/(W_{x_1}, W_{x_2}, W_{x_3})$ be the Jacobi algebra of W, $W_{x_i} := \partial W/\partial x_i$. Given a triple $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3)$ of non-negative integers we put $\phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}$. We choose a set $\mathfrak{R}$ of exponents $\mathbf{r}$, s.t., the monomials $\phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x})$ project to a basis of H. More presicely, put

$$\mathfrak{R} = \{(r_1, r_2, r_3) \mid 0 \le r_i \le a_i - 2\}. \tag{1}$$

It will be convenient also to decompose $\mathfrak{R} = \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{m}\} \sqcup \mathfrak{R}_{\mathrm{tw}}$, where $\mathbf{0} := (0, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{m} := (m_1, m_2, m_3)$, $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathrm{tw}}$ corresponds to monomials of non-integral degree and $\phi_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x})$ is a monomial of degree 1. Let us denote by $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$ the set of all *marginal* deformations

$$f(\sigma, \mathbf{x}) = W(\mathbf{x}) + \sigma\, \phi_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \sigma \in \Sigma,$$

s.t., $f(\sigma, \mathbf{x})$ has only one critical point. The hypersurfaces

$$X_{\sigma,\lambda} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid f(\sigma, \mathbf{x}) = \lambda\}$$

form a smooth fibration over $\Sigma \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$, while the homology (resp. cohomology) groups $H_2(X_{\sigma,\lambda}; \mathbb{C})$ (resp. $H^2(X_{\sigma,\lambda}; \mathbb{C})$) form a vector bundle equipped with a flat Gauss–Manin connection. We fix a reference point, say $(0, 1)$, and let

$$\mathfrak{h} = H_2(X_{0,1}; \mathbb{C}), \quad \mathfrak{h}^\vee = H^2(X_{0,1}; \mathbb{C})$$

be the reference fibers. The parallel transport around $\lambda = 0$ induces a monodromy transformation $J \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{h})$, which commutes with the monodromy action of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ on $\mathfrak{h}$. In other words, we have a monodromy representation

$$\rho : \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{h}_0) \oplus \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{h}_{\neq 0}),$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_0$ is the J-invariant subspace and $\mathfrak{h}_{\neq 0}$ is the direct sum of all eigenspaces of J with eigenvalue $\neq 0$. Put $\rho = (\rho_0, \rho_{\neq 0})$ and let

$$\overline{\rho}_{\neq 0} : \pi_1(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{h}_{\neq 0})/\langle J \rangle,$$

the map induced from $\rho_{\neq 0}$. Using an explicit computation we will check that

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho_0) \subset \mathrm{Ker}\,(\overline{\rho}_{\neq 0}). \tag{2}$$

It will be nice if one can find a conceptual explanation and determine if (2) is satisfied for other normal forms W of the simple elliptic singularities. Using (2) we get an induced homomorphism

$$\rho_W : \widetilde{\Gamma}(W) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{h}_{\neq 0})/\langle J \rangle, \tag{3}$$

where $\widetilde{\Gamma}(W) = \mathrm{Im}(\rho_0)$. Put $\Gamma(W) := \mathrm{Ker}(\rho_W)$.

Theorem 1.1. *The total ancestor potential $\mathscr{A}_\sigma^W(\hbar; \mathbf{q})$ of the simple elliptic singularity W transforms as a quasi-modular form on $\Gamma(W)$.*

The definition of the total ancestor potential in singularity theory as well as the precise meaning of the quasi-modularity will be recalled later on. Our second result can be stated this way.

Theorem 1.2. *If W is an elliptic Fermat polynomial of type $E_6^{(1,1)}$, $E_7^{(1,1)}$, or $E_8^{(1,1)}$, then $\Gamma(W)$ is respectively $\Gamma(3), \Gamma(4)$, or $\Gamma(6)$.*

1.2. **Applications to Gromov–Witten theory.** The LG/CY correspondence was proposed by Ruan [22]. In our settings it can be stated this way. A triplet of non-zero complex numbers $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ is called a *diagonal symmetry* of W if

$$W(\lambda_1 x_1, \lambda_2 x_2, \lambda_3 x_3) = W(x_1, x_2, x_3).$$

The diagonal symmetries form a group G_W. It contains the element

$$J_W = \mathrm{diag}(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}q_1}, e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}q_2}, e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}q_3}), \quad q_i = 1/a_i.$$

The equation $W = 0$ defines an elliptic curve X_W in the weighted projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2(c_1, c_2, c_3)$, where $q_i = c_i/d$ for a common denominator d. The action of the group $\widetilde{G}_W := G_W/\langle J_W\rangle$ on X_W is faithful and the quotient $\mathcal{X}_W := X_W/\widetilde{G}_W$ is an orbifold projective line $\mathbb{P}^1_{a_1,a_2,a_3}$. The LG/CY correspondence predicts that the GW invariants of $\mathcal{X}_W$ can be obtained from the so-called Fan–Jarvis–Ruan–Witten (FJRW) invariants (see [7, 8]) of the pair (W, G_W) via analytic continuation and a certain quantizatied symplectic transformation (c.f. [22]). Chiodo–Ruan addressed the idea of using global mirror symmetry to solve the LG/CY correspondence [4, 5]. This approach has been very successful so far, see [4, 14, 17] for more details.

The orbifold GW invariants of $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}^1_{a_1,a_2,a_3}$ are defined as follows. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{X}}_{g,n,\beta}$ be the moduli space of degree-β stable maps from a genus-g orbi-curve, equipped with n marked points, to $\mathcal{X}$. Here $\beta \in \mathrm{Eff}(\mathcal{X})$ where $\mathrm{Eff}(\mathcal{X}) \subset H_2(\mathcal{X};\mathbb{Z})$ is the cone of effective curve classes. By definition the Novikov ring is the completed group algebra of $\mathrm{Eff}(\mathcal{X})$. In our case, since $H_2(\mathcal{X};\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}\cdot[\mathcal{X}]$, where $[\mathcal{X}]$ is the fundamental class of $\mathcal{X}$, we may identify the Novikov ring with the space of formal power series $\mathbb{C}[\![q]\!]$ and replace $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{X}}_{g,n,d\cdot[\mathcal{X}]}$ by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{X}}_{g,n,d}$. Let us denote by π the forgetful map, and by ev_i the evaluation at the i-th marked point

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \quad \xleftarrow{\ \pi\ } \quad \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{X}}_{g,n,d} \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathrm{ev}_i\ } \quad I\mathcal{X}\,,$$

where $I\mathcal{X}$ is the inertia orbifold of $\mathcal{X}$. The moduli space is equipped with a virtual fundamental cycle $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{X}}_{g,n,d}]$, s.t., the maps

$$\Lambda^{\mathcal{X}}_{g,n} : H^*_{CR}(\mathcal{X};\mathbb{C}[\![q]\!])^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n};\mathbb{C})$$

defined by

$$\Lambda^{\mathcal{X}}_{g,n} = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} q^d\, \Lambda^{\mathcal{X}}_{g,n,d}, \quad \Lambda^{\mathcal{X}}_{g,n,d}(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n) := \pi_*\Big([\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{X}}_{g,n,d}] \cap \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{ev}_i^*(\alpha_i)\Big)$$

form a CohFT with state space the Chen-Ruan cohomology $H^*_{CR}(\mathcal{X};\mathbb{C}[\![q]\!])$. The *ancestor* GW invariants of $\mathcal{X}$ are by definition the following formal series:

$$\Big\langle \tau_{k_1}(\alpha_1),\dots,\tau_{k_n}(\alpha_n)\Big\rangle_{g,n} = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} q^d \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \Lambda^{\mathcal{X}}_{g,n,d}(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)\,\psi_1^{k_1}\cdots\psi_n^{k_n}, \tag{4}$$

where ψ_i is the i-th psi class on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, $\alpha_i \in H^*_{CR}(\mathcal{X};\mathbb{C})$, and $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. For more details on orbifold Gromov–Witten theory we refer to [3]. The total ancestor potential of $\mathcal{X}$ is by definition the following generating series

$$\mathscr{A}^{\mathcal{X}}_q(\hbar;\mathbf{q}) = \exp\Big(\sum_{g,n=0}^{\infty} \hbar^{g-1}\Big\langle \mathbf{q}(\psi_1)+\psi_1,\dots,\mathbf{q}(\psi_n)+\psi_n\Big\rangle_{g,n}\Big),$$

where $\mathbf{q}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\mathbf{q}_k z^k$ and $\{\mathbf{q}_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of formal vector variables with values in $H^*_{\mathrm{CR}}(\mathcal{X};\mathbb{C})$. The generating function is a formal series in $\mathbf{q}_0, \mathbf{q}_1+1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots$.

Following the ideas of Krawitz–Shen [14] and Milanov–Ruan [17], one can obtain a very precise correspondence between the total ancestor potentials $\mathscr{A}^W_\sigma$ and $\mathscr{A}^{\mathcal{X}}_q$ (see [19]). Let us briefly explain this correspondence. Recall that the curve

$$E_\sigma = \{f(\sigma,\mathbf{x}) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2(c_1,c_2,c_3)$$

is called *the elliptic curve at infinity*. Let us think of Σ as a punctured $\mathbb{P}^1$ and let us select a puncture p, s.t., the j-invariant $j(E_\sigma) \to \infty$ as $\sigma \to p$. For example, if W is the Fermat polynomial of type $E_7^{(1,1)}$, then $p = -2, 2$, or ∞. If W is the Fermat polynomial of type $E_8^{(1,1)}$, then p is a solution to $4p^3 + 27 = 0$. The main statement is that there exists a function $\pi_B(\sigma)/\pi_A(\sigma)$ (see [19]) on $\mathbb{P}^1$ holomorphic near $\sigma = p$, s.t., under a mirror map $q = \pi_B(\sigma)/\pi_A(\sigma)$, the total ancestor potential $\mathscr{A}^{\mathcal{X}}_q$ coincides with $\mathscr{A}^W_\sigma$. Let us point out that the definition of $\mathscr{A}^W_\sigma$ requires a choice of a *primitive form* in the sense of K. Saito [23]. Part of the statement is that there exists a primitive form, s.t., the identification holds. Combining the mirror symmetry theorem of [19] with Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 we get

Corollary 1.3. *If $\mathcal{X}$ is one of the orbifolds $\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}$, $\mathbb{P}^1_{4,4,2}$, or $\mathbb{P}^1_{6,3,2}$, then the Gromov–Witten invariants* (4) *are quasi-modular forms respectively on $\Gamma(3), \Gamma(4)$, or $\Gamma(6)$.*

1.3. **Acknowledgement.** We thank Yongbin Ruan for his insight and support for this project. Both authors would like to thank for many stimulating conversations. The first author benefited from conversations with Satoshi Kondo and Charles Siegel. We thank Arthur Greenspoon and Noriko Yui for editorial assistance. The work of both authors is supported by Grant-In-Aid and by the World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI Initiative), MEXT, Japan.

2. The total ancestor potential in singularity theory

Let $\mathcal{S} = \Sigma \times \mathbb{C}^{\mu-1}$. We fix a coordinate system on $\mathcal{S}$, such that the coordinates of $\mathbf{s} = (s_{\mathbf{m}}, s_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \cdots, s_{\mathbf{r}_{\mu-1}}) \in \mathcal{S}$ are indexed by the exponents $\mathfrak{R}$ (cf. (1)) in such a way

that $s_{\mathbf{m}} \in \Sigma$ and $s_{\mathbf{r}} \in \mathbb{C}$ for $\mathbf{r} \neq \mathbf{m}$. The miniversal deformation of W can be given by the following function

$$F(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) = W(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathfrak{R}} s_{\mathbf{r}} \phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x}), \tag{5}$$

where the domain of $F(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})$ is $X := \mathcal{S} \times \mathbb{C}^3$. The marginal deformations $f(\sigma, \mathbf{x})$ are obtained from $F(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})$ by restricting $s_{\mathbf{m}} = \sigma$ and $s_{\mathbf{r}} = 0$ for $\mathbf{r} \neq \mathbf{m}$.

It is well known (see [11, 25]) that Saito's theory of primitive forms (cf. [23]) gives rise to a Frobenius manifold structure (cf. [6]) on $\mathcal{S}$. In this section the goal is to recall the key points in the construction of this Frobenius manifold structure and then use the higher-genus reconstruction formalism of Givental to define the total ancestor potential of W.

2.1. **Saito's theory.** Let $C \subset X$ be the critical variety of $F(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})$, i.e., the support of the sheaf is

$$\mathcal{O}_C := \mathcal{O}_X / \langle F_{x_1}, F_{x_2}, F_{x_3} \rangle.$$

Let $q : X \to \mathcal{S}$ be the projection on the first factor. The Kodair–Spencer map ($\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the sheaf of holomorphic vector fields on $\mathcal{S}$)

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}} \longrightarrow q_* \mathcal{O}_C, \quad \partial/\partial s_{\mathbf{r}} \mapsto \partial F/\partial s_{\mathbf{r}} \bmod (F_{x_1}, F_{x_2}, F_{x_3})$$

is an isomorphism, which implies that for any $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}$, the tangent space $T_{\mathbf{s}}\mathcal{S}$ is equipped with an associative commutative multiplication $\bullet_{\mathbf{s}}$ depending holomorphically on $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}$. If in addition we have a volume form $\omega = g(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) d^3\mathbf{x}$, where $d^3\mathbf{x} = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3$ is the standard volume form; then $q_* \mathcal{O}_C$ (hence $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}$ as well) is equipped with the *residue pairing*:

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3} \int_{\Gamma_\epsilon} \frac{\psi_1(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}) \psi_2(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y})}{F_{y_1} F_{y_2} F_{y_3}} \omega, \tag{6}$$

where $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ is an unimodular coordinate system for the volume form, i.e., $\omega = d^3\mathbf{y}$, and Γ_ϵ is a real 3-dimensional cycle supported on $|F_{x_i}| = \epsilon$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

Given a semi-infinite cycle

$$\mathcal{A} \in \varprojlim H_3(\mathbb{C}^3, (\mathbb{C}^3)_{-m}; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^\mu, \tag{7}$$

where

$$(\mathbb{C}^3)_m = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid \mathrm{Re}(F(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})/z) \leq m\}. \tag{8}$$

Put

$$J_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{s}, z) = (-2\pi z)^{-3/2} z d_{\mathcal{S}} \int_{\mathcal{A}} e^{F(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})/z} \omega, \tag{9}$$

where $d_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the de Rham differential on $\mathcal{S}$. The oscillatory integrals $J_{\mathcal{A}}$ are by definition sections of the cotangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}^*_{\mathcal{S}}$.

According to Saito's theory of primitive forms [23, 26], there exists a volume form ω such that the residue pairing is flat and the oscillatory integrals satisfy a

system of differential equations, which in flat-homogeneous coordinates $\mathbf{t} = (t_{\mathbf{r}})_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathfrak{R}}$ have the form

$$z\partial_{\mathbf{r}} J_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{t}, z) = \partial_{\mathbf{r}} \bullet_{\mathbf{t}} J_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{t}, z), \tag{10}$$

where $\partial_{\mathbf{r}} := \partial/\partial t_{\mathbf{r}}$ and the multiplication is defined by identifying vectors and covectors via the residue pairing. Using the residue pairing, the flat structure, and the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism we have the following isomorphisms:

$$T^*\mathcal{S} \cong T\mathcal{S} \cong \mathcal{S} \times T_{\mathbf{0}}\mathcal{S} \cong \mathcal{S} \times H.$$

Due to homogeneity the integrals satisfy a differential equation with respect to the parameter $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$

$$(z\partial_z + E) J_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{t}, z) = \Theta\, J_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{t}, z), \tag{11}$$

where

$$E = \sum_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathfrak{R}} d_{\mathbf{r}} t_{\mathbf{r}} \partial_{\mathbf{r}}, \quad (d_{\mathbf{r}} := \deg t_{\mathbf{r}} = \deg s_{\mathbf{r}}),$$

is the *Euler vector field* and Θ is the so-called *Hodge grading operator*

$$\Theta : \mathcal{T}_S^* \to \mathcal{T}_S^*, \quad \Theta(dt_{\mathbf{r}}) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - d_{\mathbf{r}}\right) dt_{\mathbf{r}}.$$

The compatibility of the system (10)–(11) implies that the residue pairing, the multiplication, and the Euler vector field give rise to a *conformal Frobenius structure* of conformal dimension 1. We refer to B. Dubrovin [6] for the definition and more details on Frobenius structures.

2.2. **Primitive forms for simple elliptic singularities.** The classification of primitive forms in general is a very difficult problem. In the case of simple elliptic singularities however, all primitive forms are known (see [23]). They are given by $\omega = d^3\mathbf{x}/\pi_A(\sigma)$, where $\pi_A(\sigma)$ is a period of the elliptic curve at infinity. Let us recall also that $\pi_A(\sigma)$ can be expressed in terms of a period of the Gelfand-Lerey form $d^3\mathbf{x}/df$ as follows. We embed $\mathbb{C}^3$ in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}^3(1, c_1, c_2, c_3)$ via $x_i = X_i/X_0^{c_i}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. The Zariski closure of the Milnor fiber $X_{\sigma,1}$ is $\overline{X}_{\sigma,1} = X_{\sigma,1} \cup E_\sigma$, therefore we have a *tube* map

$$L : H_1(E_\sigma; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(X_{\sigma,1}; \mathbb{Z})$$

which allows us to write

$$\pi_A(\sigma) := \int_{L(A)} \frac{d^3x}{df} = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \int_A \mathrm{Res}_{E_\sigma} \frac{d^3x}{df}.$$

Let us point out that when $\sigma = 0$ the image of the tube map L is precisely $\mathfrak{h}_0$ and the monodromy representation ρ_0 coincides with the monodromy representation of the elliptic pencil E_σ, $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

2.3. **Givental's higher-genus reconstruction formalism.** Following Givental we introduce the vector space $\mathcal{H} = H((z))$ of formal Laurent series in z^{-1} with coefficients in H, equipped with the symplectic structure

$$\Omega(f(z), g(z)) = \mathrm{res}_{z=0}(f(-z), g(z))dz.$$

Using the polarization $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$, where $\mathcal{H}_+ = H[z]$ and $\mathcal{H}_- = H[[z^{-1}]]z^{-1}$ we identify $\mathcal{H}$ with the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathcal{H}_+$. The goal in this subsection is to define the total ancestor potential of W.

2.3.1. *The stationary phase asymptotics.* We fix a primitive form $\omega = d^3\mathbf{x}/\pi_A(\sigma)$ and let $\{t_\mathbf{r}\}$ be flat coordinates, defined near $\mathbf{s} = 0$, s.t., under the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism $T_0\mathcal{S} \cong H$ we have $\partial_\mathbf{r} = \phi_\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x})$. Since, π_A is a multi-valued analytic function on $\mathcal{S}$, the flat coordinates t_e are also multi-valued analytic functions on $\mathcal{S}$.

Let $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}$ be a semi-simple point, i.e., the critical values $\{u_i(\mathbf{s})\}_{i=1}^\mu$ of $F(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})$ form locally near $\mathbf{s}$ a coordinate system. Let us also fix a path from $0 \in S$ to $\mathbf{s}$, so that we have a fixed branch of the flat coordinates. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\Psi_\mathbf{s} : \mathbb{C}^\mu \to H, \quad e_i \mapsto \sqrt{\Delta_i}\,\partial_{u_i} = \sqrt{\Delta_i} \sum_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathfrak{R}} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t_\mathbf{r}}\,\phi_\mathbf{r},$$

where Δ_i is determined by $(\partial/\partial u_i, \partial/\partial u_j) = \delta_{ij}/\Delta_i$. It is well known that Ψ_s diagonalizes the Frobenius multiplication and the residue pairing, i.e.,

$$e_i \bullet e_j = \sqrt{\Delta_i} e_i \delta_{i,j}, \quad (e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{ss}}$ be the set of all semi-simple points. The complement $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{ss}}$ is an analytic hypersurface also known as the *caustic*. It corresponds to deformations, s.t., $F(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})$ has at least one non-Morse critical point. By definition, we get a multi-valued analytic map

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{ss}} \to \mathrm{Hom}_\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}^\mu, H), \quad \mathbf{s} \mapsto \Psi_\mathbf{s}.$$

The system of differential equations (10) and (11) admits a unique formal asymptotical solution of the type

$$\Psi_\mathbf{s}\, R_\mathbf{s}(z)\, e^{U_\mathbf{s}/z}, \quad R_\mathbf{s}(z) = 1 + z\, R_{\mathbf{s},1} + z^2\, R_{\mathbf{s},2} + \cdots$$

where $U_\mathbf{s}$ is a diagonal matrix with entries $u_1(\mathbf{s}), \ldots, u_\mu(\mathbf{s})$ on the diagonal and $R_{\mathbf{s},k} \in \mathrm{Hom}_\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}^\mu, \mathbb{C}^\mu)$. Alternatively this formal solution coincides with the stationary phase asymptotics of the following integrals. Let $\mathcal{B}_i$ be the semi-infinite cycle of the type (7) consisting of all points $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^3$ such that the gradient trajectories of $-\mathrm{Re}(F(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})/z)$ flow into the critical value $u_i(\mathbf{s})$. Then

$$(-2\pi z)^{-3/2}\, z\, d_S \int_{\mathcal{B}_i} e^{F(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{x})/z}\omega \;\sim\; e^{u_i(\mathbf{s})/z}\, \Psi_\mathbf{s}\, R_\mathbf{s}(z) e_i \quad \text{as } z \to 0.$$

We refer to [9] and [10] for more details and proofs.

2.3.2. *The quantization formalism.* Let us fix a Darboux coordinate system on $\mathcal{H}$ given by the linear functions $q_k^{\mathbf{r}}$, $p_{k,\mathbf{r}}$ defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{f}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathfrak{R}} (q_k^{\mathbf{r}}\, \phi_{\mathbf{r}}\, z^k + p_{k,\mathbf{r}}\, \phi^{\mathbf{r}}\, (-z)^{-k-1}) \quad \in \quad \mathcal{H},$$

where $\{\phi^{\mathbf{r}}\}_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathfrak{R}}$ is a basis of H dual to $\{\phi_{\mathbf{r}}\}_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathfrak{R}}$ with respect to the residue pairing.

If $R = e^{A(z)}$, where $A(z)$ is an infinitesimal symplectic transformation, then we define $\widehat{R}$ as follows. Since $A(z)$ is infinitesimal symplectic, the map $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{H} \mapsto A\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{H}$ defines a Hamiltonian vector field with Hamiltonian given by the quadratic function $h_A(\mathbf{f}) = \frac{1}{2}\Omega(A\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f})$. By definition, the quantization of e^A is given by the differential operator $e^{\widehat{h}_A}$, where the quadratic Hamiltonians are quantized according to the following rules:

$$(p_{k',\mathbf{r}'} p_{k'',\mathbf{r}''})\widehat{\ } = \hbar \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_{k'}^{\mathbf{r}'} \partial q_{k''}^{\mathbf{r}''}}, \quad (p_{k',\mathbf{r}'} q_{k''}^{\mathbf{r}''})\widehat{\ } = (q_{k''}^{\mathbf{r}''} p_{k',\mathbf{r}'})\widehat{\ } = q_{k''}^{\mathbf{r}''} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{k'}^{\mathbf{r}'}}, \quad (q_{k'}^{\mathbf{r}'} q_{k''}^{\mathbf{r}''})\widehat{\ } = q_{k'}^{\mathbf{r}'} q_{k''}^{\mathbf{r}''}/\hbar.$$

Note that the quantization defines a projective representation of the Poisson Lie algebra of quadratic Hamiltonians:

$$[\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}, \widehat{\mathfrak{B}}] = \{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}\}\widehat{\ } + C(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}),$$

where $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ are quadratic Hamiltonians and the values of the cocycle C on a pair of Darboux monomials is non-zero only in the following cases:

$$C\left(p_{k',\mathbf{r}'} p_{k'',\mathbf{r}''}, q_{k'}^{\mathbf{r}'} q_{k''}^{\mathbf{r}''}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (k', \mathbf{r}') \neq (k'', \mathbf{r}''), \\ 2 & \text{if } (k', \mathbf{r}') = (k'', \mathbf{r}''). \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

2.3.3. *The total ancestor potential.* By definition, the Kontsevich-Witten tau-function is the following generating series:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{pt}}(\hbar; q(z)) = \exp\Big(\sum_{g,n} \frac{1}{n!} \hbar^{g-1} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (q(\psi_i) + \psi_i) \Big), \tag{13}$$

where $q(z) = \sum_k q_k z^k$, $(q_0, q_1, \ldots)$ are formal variables, ψ_i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ are the first Chern classes of the cotangent line bundles on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. The function is interpreted as a formal series in $q_0, q_1 + \mathbf{1}, q_2, \ldots$ whose coefficients are Laurent series in $\hbar$.

Let $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{ss}}$ be *a semi-simple* point. Motivated by Gromov–Witten theory Givental introduced the notion of the *total ancestor potential* of a semi-simple Frobenius structure (see [9, 10]). In our settings the definition takes the form

$$\mathscr{A}_{\mathbf{s}}(\hbar; \mathbf{q}) := \widehat{\Psi}_{\mathbf{s}}\, \widehat{R}_{\mathbf{s}}\, e^{\widehat{U_{\mathbf{s}}/z}} \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{pt}}\left(\hbar\, \Delta_i(\mathbf{s}); {}^i\mathbf{q}(z)\, \sqrt{\Delta_i(\mathbf{s})}\right) \tag{14}$$

where

$$\mathbf{q}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathfrak{R}} q_k^{\mathbf{r}} z^k \phi_{\mathbf{r}}, \quad {}^i\mathbf{q}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} {}^i q_k z^k.$$

The quantization $\widehat{\Psi_{\mathbf{s}}}$ is interpreted as the change of variables

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} {}^i\mathbf{q}(z)\, e_i = \Psi_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1}\mathbf{q}(z) \quad \text{i.e.} \quad {}^i q_k \sqrt{\Delta_i} = \sum_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathfrak{R}} \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t_{\mathbf{r}}}\, q_k^{\mathbf{r}}. \tag{15}$$

3. Modularity and monodromy

The flat coordinates are multi-valued analytic functions on $\mathcal{S}$. In this section we will compute their monodromy under analytic continuation. Once this task is completed the proof of Theorem 1.1 will be easy.

3.1. **Picard–Fuchs equations.** We consider the so-called *geometric sections* (see [2])

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma,\lambda) := \int \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{r}} \frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{df} \quad \in H^2(X_{\sigma,\lambda};\mathbb{C}), \tag{16}$$

where $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3), r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{r}} := \phi_{\mathbf{r}} = x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}$. The geometric sections (16) with all $\mathbf{r} \in \mathfrak{R}$ give rise to a trivialization of the vanishing cohomology bundle. The Gauss–Manin connection corresponds to a system of Fuchsian differential equations known as *Picard–Fuchs* equations. It is enough to solve this system at $\lambda = 1$, because the homogeneity of $f(\sigma, x)$ yields the following simple relation

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma,\lambda) = \lambda^{\deg(\mathbf{r})}\, \Phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma, 1),$$

where $\deg(\mathbf{r}) := \deg(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{r}}) = \sum_i r_i q_i$. The Picard–Fuchs equations have the form

$$\partial_\sigma\, \Phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma,1) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}'\in\mathfrak{R}} G_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}(\sigma)\, \Phi_{\mathbf{r}'}(\sigma,1), \tag{17}$$

where $\mathfrak{G} = (G_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}(\sigma))$ is a square matrix of size $\mu = |\mathfrak{R}|$, whose entries are holomorphic functions on Σ. Let us denote by $\mathfrak{F} = (\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}''}(\sigma))$ a fundamental solution to the above system, i.e., $\mathfrak{F}$ is a non-degenerate matrix satisfying $\partial_\sigma \mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{G} \cdot \mathfrak{F}$. We have

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma,\lambda) = \lambda^{\deg(\mathbf{r})} \sum_{\mathbf{r}'\in\mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}(\sigma)\, A_{\mathbf{r}'}, \tag{18}$$

where $A_{\mathbf{r}'}, \mathbf{r}' \in \mathfrak{R}$ are multi-valued *flat* sections. The system (17) is block-diagonal in the following sense

$$G_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}''} \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \deg(\mathbf{r}') - \deg(\mathbf{r}'') \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore the matrix $\mathfrak{F}$ is also block-diagonal. Since analytic continuation around $\lambda = 0$ corresponds to the classical monodromy transformation J, we get that the vectors $\{A_{\mathbf{r}}\}_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathfrak{R}}$ give an eigenbasis of $\mathfrak{h}^\vee$, i.e., $J(A_{\mathbf{r}}) = e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\deg(\mathbf{r})} A_{\mathbf{r}}$.

3.2. **Flat coordinates.** We follow the idea of [17], except that we will avoid the use of explicit formulas. It is convenient to introduce the following multi-index notation. We will be interested in sequences $\kappa = (\kappa_{\mathbf{r}})_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathfrak{R}\setminus\{\mathbf{m}\}}$, where $\kappa_{\mathbf{r}}$ are non-negative integers. Recall that $d_{\mathbf{r}} = \deg(s_{\mathbf{r}}) = 1 - \deg(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{r}})$, we put

$$\mathbf{x}^{\kappa} := \prod_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathfrak{R}\setminus\{\mathbf{m}\}} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{r}})^{\kappa_{\mathbf{r}}}, \quad \frac{\mathbf{s}^{\kappa}}{\kappa!} := \prod_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathfrak{R}\setminus\{\mathbf{m}\}} \frac{s_{\mathbf{r}}^{\kappa_{\mathbf{r}}}}{\kappa_{\mathbf{r}}!}, \quad d_{\kappa} := \deg(\mathbf{s}^{\kappa}) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathfrak{R}\setminus\{\mathbf{m}\}} \kappa_{\mathbf{r}}\, d_{\mathbf{r}},$$

Let us define a block-diagonal matrix $C = (C_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}(s))_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'\in\mathfrak{R}}$, whose entries are holomorphic functions on $\mathcal{S}$

$$C_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{\kappa: d_{\kappa} = d_{\mathbf{r}'}} c_{\mathbf{r},\kappa}(\sigma)\, \frac{\mathbf{s}^{\kappa}}{\kappa!}, \tag{19}$$

where the functions $c_{\mathbf{r},\kappa}(\mathbf{s})$ are defined from the identity

$$(-2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\int_0^{-\infty} e^{\lambda} \lambda^{\deg(\mathbf{x}^{\kappa})+1} d\lambda \right) \int \mathbf{x}^{\kappa}\, \frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{df} = \sum_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathfrak{R}} c_{\mathbf{r},\kappa}(\sigma)\, \Phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma, 1). \tag{20}$$

The integration path in the first integral is the negative real axis and the second one is interpreted as a cohomology class in $H^2(X_{\sigma,1}; \mathbb{C})$. The identity is obtained by performing successively integration by parts until the degree of the monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\kappa}$ is reduced to some number in the interval $[0, 1]$. In particular, since each integration by parts decreases the degree by an integer number, the sum on the RHS is over all $\mathbf{r} \in \mathfrak{R}$, s.t., $d_{\mathbf{r}} - d_{\kappa} \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that the matrix C is block-diagonal. Given cycles $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}} \in \mathfrak{h}^{\vee}$, $\mathbf{r} \in \mathfrak{R}$, we define the following multi-valued analytic functions on $\mathcal{S}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{s}) &= \frac{1}{\pi_A} \left(C_{0,\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{s}) \left\langle \Phi_0(\sigma, 1), \alpha_{\mathbf{m}} \right\rangle + C_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{s}) \left\langle \Phi_m(\sigma, 1), \alpha_{\mathbf{m}} \right\rangle \right), \\
t_0(\mathbf{s}) &= \frac{1}{\pi_A} \left(C_{\mathbf{m},0}(\mathbf{s}) \left\langle \Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma, 1), \alpha_0 \right\rangle + C_{0,0}(\mathbf{s}) \left\langle \Phi_0(\sigma, 1), \alpha_0 \right\rangle \right), \\
t_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{s}) &= \frac{1}{\pi_A} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathfrak{R}: d_{\mathbf{r}'} = d_{\mathbf{r}}} C_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{s}) \left\langle \Phi_{\mathbf{r}'}(\sigma, 1), \alpha_{\mathbf{r}} \right\rangle \right), \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathfrak{R}_{\mathrm{tw}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that by definition $C_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{s}) = 0$ and $C_{0,\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{s})$ is a constant independent of $\mathbf{s}$.

Proposition 3.1. *There are cycles $\{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}\}_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathfrak{R}}$ that form an eigenbasis for the classical monodromy J, s.t.,*

(i) *The functions $t_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{s})$, $\mathbf{r} \in \mathfrak{R}$ form a flat coordinate system on $\mathcal{S}$.*
(ii) *We have $\partial_0 = 1$ and $(\partial_0, \partial_{\mathbf{m}}) = 1$, where $\partial_{\mathbf{r}} := \partial/\partial t_{\mathbf{r}}$.*
(iii) *The following identity holds (compare with the definition of $t_0(\mathbf{s})$):*

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}''\in\mathfrak{R}} (\partial_{\mathbf{r}'}, \partial_{\mathbf{r}''})\, t_{\mathbf{r}'} t_{\mathbf{r}''} = \frac{1}{\pi_A} \left(C_{\mathbf{m},0}(\mathbf{s}) \left\langle \Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma, 1), \alpha_{\mathbf{m}} \right\rangle + C_{0,0}(\mathbf{s}) \left\langle \Phi_0(\sigma, 1), \alpha_{\mathbf{m}} \right\rangle \right).$$

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ be an arbitrary point. We fix a path in Σ from 0 to σ. Our goal is to construct flat coordinates in a neighborhood of σ. Given a basis of cycles $\{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}\}_{\mathbf{r}\in\Re}$ we denote by $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}^{\sigma,1} \in H_2(X_{\sigma,1};\mathbb{C})$ the parallel transport of $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}$. The polynomial $f(\sigma,\mathbf{x})$ is weighted homogeneous, so there is a natural $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathbb{C}^3$, s.t., $f(\sigma, c\cdot\mathbf{x}) = cf(\sigma,\mathbf{x})$ for every $c\in\mathbb{C}^*$. Using this action we define

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{r}} = \{(\lambda z)\cdot\mathbf{y} \mid \lambda\in(-\infty,0], \quad \mathbf{y}\in\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}^{\sigma,1}\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{r}}$ is a semi-infinite cycle of the type (7), so the corresponding oscillatory integral is convergent. Using the Fubini's theorem we get

$$(-2\pi z)^{-3/2}\int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{r}}} e^{F(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{x})/z}\omega = (-2\pi z)^{-3/2}\int_0^{-\infty} e^{\lambda}\,(\lambda z)\int_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}^{\sigma,1}} e^{\sum_{\mathbf{r}\in\Re\setminus\{\mathbf{m}\}} s_{\mathbf{r}}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{r}}\lambda^{(1-d_{\mathbf{r}})}z^{-d_{\mathbf{r}}}}\frac{\omega}{df}\,d\lambda$$

The exponential in the second integral on the RHS is

$$\sum_{\kappa}\frac{\mathbf{s}^{\kappa}}{\kappa!}\,\mathbf{x}^{\kappa}\,\lambda^{\deg(\mathbf{x}^{\kappa})}\,z^{-d_{\kappa}},$$

where the sum is over all sequences $\kappa = (\kappa_{\mathbf{r}})_{\mathbf{r}\in\Re\setminus\{\mathbf{m}\}}$ of non-negative integers. Substituting the above expansion we get

$$(-2\pi)^{-3/2}z^{-1/2}\sum_{\kappa}\left(\int_0^{-\infty} e^{\lambda}\,\lambda^{1+\deg(\mathbf{x}^{\kappa})}\,d\lambda\right)\frac{\mathbf{s}^{\kappa}}{\kappa!}\,z^{-d_{\kappa}}\int_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}^{\sigma,1}}\mathbf{x}^{\kappa}\,\frac{\omega}{df}\,.$$

Comparing with formula (20), we get the following formula for the oscillatory integral

$$J_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{r}}}(\mathbf{s},z) = z^{\frac{1}{2}}\,d\left(\sum_{\kappa} z^{-d_{\kappa}}\frac{\mathbf{s}^{\kappa}}{\kappa!}\sum_{\mathbf{r}\in\Re}\frac{c_{\mathbf{r},\kappa}(\sigma)}{\pi_A}\Big\langle\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma,1),\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}\Big\rangle\right). \tag{21}$$

The oscillatory integrals $J_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{r}}}(\mathbf{s},z)$ are solutions to the differential equations (10) and (11). On the other hand near $z=\infty$ these equations have a fundamental solution of the type $S_{\mathbf{t}}(z)z^{\Theta}$, where $S_{\mathbf{t}}(z) = 1 + S_{\mathbf{t},1}z^{-1}+\cdots$ and $S_{\mathbf{t},k}\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(H,H)$. Therefore, we can choose the cycles $\{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}\}$ in such a way that

$$J_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{r}}}(\mathbf{s},z) = S_{\mathbf{t}}(z)\,z^{\Theta}\,dt_{\mathbf{r}} = z^{\frac{1}{2}-d_{\mathbf{r}}}\left(dt_{\mathbf{r}} + z^{-1}S_{\mathbf{t},1}(dt_{\mathbf{r}})+\cdots\right), \tag{22}$$

where $\mathbf{t} = (t_{\mathbf{r}})$ is a flat coordinate system. Note that $d_{\mathbf{r}} > 0$ for $\mathbf{r}\neq\mathbf{m}$ and $d_{\mathbf{m}} = 0$. Therefore, we have

$$J_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{r}}}(\mathbf{s},z) = z^{\frac{1}{2}-d_{\mathbf{r}}}dt_{\mathbf{r}} + z^{\frac{1}{2}-1}\delta_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{m}}S_{\mathbf{t},1}(dt_{\mathbf{m}})+\cdots,$$

where the dots stand for terms involving higher order powers of z^{-1}. Let us choose the flat coordinates in such a way that $\partial_0 = \mathbf{1}$ and $(\partial_{\mathbf{m}},\partial_0) = 1$, then we have

$$S_{\mathbf{t},1}(dt_{\mathbf{m}}) = S_{\mathbf{t},1}(\mathbf{1}) = \frac{1}{2}d\left(\sum_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}''\in\Re}(\partial_{\mathbf{r}'},\partial_{\mathbf{r}''})\,t_{\mathbf{r}'}t_{\mathbf{r}''}\right).$$

Finally we get

$$J_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{r}}}(\mathbf{s},z) = z^{\frac{1}{2}} d \left(z^{-d_{\mathbf{r}}} t_{\mathbf{r}} + z^{-1} \delta_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{m}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}'' \in \mathfrak{R}} (\partial_{\mathbf{r}'}, \partial_{\mathbf{r}''})\, t_{\mathbf{r}'} t_{\mathbf{r}''} \right) + \cdots .$$

All statements in the Proposition follow by comparing the above formula with (21). □

3.3. **The monodromy of the flat coordinates.** Let us choose the fundamental matrix $\mathfrak{F}$ of the Picard–Fuchs equations (see (18)) in such a way that $\{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}\}_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathfrak{R}}$ and $\{A_{\mathbf{r}}\}_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathfrak{R}}$ are dual bases. Furthermore, since $C_{0,\mathbf{m}}\,\alpha_{\mathbf{m}}$ is a tube cycle we can find $B \in H_1(E_0;\mathbb{C})$ such that $L(B) := C_{0,\mathbf{m}}\,\alpha_{\mathbf{m}}$, so we have

$$t_{\mathbf{m}} = \frac{\pi_B(\sigma)}{\pi_A(\sigma)}.$$

The flat coordinate t_0 is such that $\partial/\partial t_0 = 1$. Therefore, the coefficient in front of s_0 in $t_0(\mathbf{s})$ must be 1. On the other hand, using formulas (19) and (20) we get

$$C_{0,\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{s}) = c_{0,0}, \quad C_{0,0}(\mathbf{s}) = c_{0,0}\, s_0 + \cdots ,$$

where the dots stand for at least quadratic terms in $\mathbf{s}$. It follows that $L(A) = C_{0,\mathbf{m}}\,\alpha_0$.

Let γ be a loop in Σ based at the reference point $\sigma = 0$. Let us denote by $[\rho_{\neq 0}(\gamma)]_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}$ the matrix of the linear operator $\rho_{\neq 0}(\gamma)$ in the basis $\{\alpha_r\}_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathfrak{R}_{\mathrm{tw}}}$, i.e.,

$$\rho_{\neq 0}(\gamma)(\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}'\in\mathfrak{R}_{\mathrm{tw}}} [\rho_{\neq 0}(\gamma)]_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}}\, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}'}.$$

Since the monodromy representation $\rho_{\neq 0}$ commutes with the classical monodromy J and $\{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}\}$ is an eigenbasis for J, the matrix $[\rho_{\neq 0}(\gamma)]$ is block diagonal

$$[\rho_{\neq 0}(\gamma)]_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}} \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d_{\mathbf{r}'} = d_{\mathbf{r}}.$$

Similarly let us denote by $[\rho_0(\gamma)]$ the matrix of $\rho_0(\gamma)$ in the basis $\{\alpha_{\mathbf{m}}, \alpha_0\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0(\gamma)(\alpha_{\mathbf{m}}) &= [\rho_0(\gamma)]_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}}\alpha_{\mathbf{m}} + [\rho_0(\gamma)]_{0,\mathbf{m}}\alpha_0, \\ \rho_0(\gamma)(\alpha_0) &= [\rho_0(\gamma)]_{\mathbf{m},0}\alpha_{\mathbf{m}} + [\rho_0(\gamma)]_{0,0}\alpha_0. \end{aligned}$$

The space $\mathfrak{h}_0 \cong H_1(E_0,\mathbb{C})$ is equipped with a symplectic form that comes from the intersection pairing. By continuity, the linear transformation $\rho_0(\gamma)$ is a symplectic transformation, i.e., the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} := [\rho_0(\gamma)]^T = \begin{bmatrix} [\rho_0(\gamma)]_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}} & [\rho_0(\gamma)]_{0,\mathbf{m}} \\ [\rho_0(\gamma)]_{\mathbf{m},0} & [\rho_0(\gamma)]_{0,0} \end{bmatrix} \quad \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

An immediate corollary of Proposition 3.1 is the transformation rule for the flat coordinates under the analytic continuation along γ.

Corollary 3.2. *The analytic continuation along a loop γ transforms the flat coordinates as follows*

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{t_{\mathbf{m}}} &= \frac{at_{\mathbf{m}}+b}{ct_{\mathbf{m}}+d},\\
\widetilde{t_0} &= t_0 + \frac{c}{2\,(ct_{\mathbf{m}}+d)} \sum_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}''\in\Re_{\mathrm{tw}}} (\partial_{\mathbf{r}'},\partial_{\mathbf{r}''})\, t_{\mathbf{r}'}t_{\mathbf{r}''},\\
\widetilde{t_{\mathbf{r}}} &= \frac{1}{ct_{\mathbf{m}}+d} \sum_{\mathbf{r}'\in\Re_{\mathrm{tw}}:d_{\mathbf{r}'}=d_{\mathbf{r}}} [\rho_{\neq 0}(\gamma)]_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}}\, t_{\mathbf{r}'}, \quad \mathbf{r}\in\Re_{\mathrm{tw}}.
\end{aligned}$$

3.4. **Monodromy of the asymptotical operator.** Recall the notation from Section 2.3.1. Let us identify the space of linear operators $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^\mu, H)$ with the space of square matrices of size μ by fixing the a basis $\{\phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{r}} \in H; \mathbf{r}\in\Re\}$ and a standard basis $\{e_i \in \mathbb{C}^\mu; 1\le i\le\mu\}$, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{A}(e_i) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}\in\Re} [\mathbb{A}]_{\mathbf{r},i}\,\phi_{\mathbf{r}}.$$

The asymptotical operator $\Psi_{\mathbf{s}} R_{\mathbf{s}} e^{U_{\mathbf{s}}/z}$ can be viewed as a matrix with entries formal asymptotical series. Let us fix a loop γ in Σ. We would like to find out how the operator changes under the analytic continuation along γ. The answer can be stated in the following way. Let $M(\gamma,t)\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(H,H)$ be the operator whose matrix is

$$[M(\gamma,t)]_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{ct_{\mathbf{m}}+d}\,\frac{\partial t_{\mathbf{r}'}}{\partial\widetilde{t_{\mathbf{r}}}} - z\,c\,\delta_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{r}}\delta_{0,\mathbf{r}'},$$

where c, d, and $\widetilde{t_{\mathbf{r}}}$ are determined via γ as it was stated in Corollary 3.2. Analytic continuation along γ transforms the sequence of critical values $(u_1(\mathbf{s}),\dots,u_\mu(\mathbf{s}))$ via some permutation p. Let us denote by $P(\gamma)\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^\mu,\mathbb{C}^\mu)$ the linear operator whose matrix is given by

$$[P(\gamma)]_{i,j} = \delta_{i,p(j)}.$$

Proposition 3.3. *The analytic continuation along the loop γ transforms the asymptotical operator $\Psi_{\mathbf{s}} R_{\mathbf{s}} e^{U_{\mathbf{s}}/z}$ into*

$${}^{\mathrm{T}}M(\gamma,t)\,\Psi_{\mathbf{s}} R_{\mathbf{s}} e^{U_{\mathbf{s}}/z}\,P(\gamma),$$

where for a linear operator $\mathbb{A}: H\to H$ we denote by ${}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbb{A}$ the transpose of $\mathbb{A}$ with respect to the residue pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)$.

Proof. Let us denote by $I_i(\mathbf{s},z)(1\le i\le\mu)$ the stationary phase asymptotic of the oscillatory integral

$$(-2\pi z)^{-3/2}\int_{\mathcal{B}_i} e^{F(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{x})/z} d^3\mathbf{x}.$$

By definition the asymptotical operator is defined by the following identity:

$$(\phi_{\mathbf{r}}, \Psi_{\mathbf{s}} R_{\mathbf{s}} e^{U_{\mathbf{s}}/z} e_i) = z \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{\mathbf{r}}} \left(\frac{I_i(\mathbf{s}, z)}{\pi_A} \right), \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathfrak{R}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \mu.$$

The analytic continuation along γ transforms the above matrix into

$$z \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{t}_{\mathbf{r}}} \left(\frac{I_{p(i)}(\mathbf{s}, z)}{\pi_A (ct_{\mathbf{m}} + d)} \right) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}' \in \mathfrak{R}} z \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{\mathbf{r}'}} \left(\frac{I_{p(i)}(\mathbf{s}, z)}{\pi_A (ct_{\mathbf{m}} + d)} \right) \frac{\partial t'_{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \widetilde{t}_{\mathbf{r}}}, \tag{23}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{r}' \in \mathfrak{R}} z \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{\mathbf{r}'}} \left(\frac{1}{ct_{\mathbf{m}} + d} \right) \frac{\partial t_{\mathbf{r}'}}{\partial \widetilde{t}_{\mathbf{r}}} = z \frac{\partial t_{\mathbf{m}}}{\partial \widetilde{t}_{\mathbf{m}}} \left(\frac{-c}{(ct_{\mathbf{m}} + d)^2} \right) \delta_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{r}} = -z\, c\, \delta_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{r}}.$$

and

$$\frac{I_{p(i)}(\mathbf{s}, z)}{\pi_A} = z \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \left(\frac{I_{p(i)}(\mathbf{s}, z)}{\pi_A} \right).$$

Hence the RHS of (23) becomes

$$\sum_{\mathbf{r}' \in \mathfrak{R}} \left(\frac{z}{ct_{\mathbf{m}} + d} \right) \frac{\partial t_{\mathbf{r}'}}{\partial \widetilde{t}_{\mathbf{r}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{r'}} \left(\frac{I_{p(i)}(\mathbf{s}, z)}{\pi_A} \right) - (z\, c\, \delta_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{r}})\ z \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \left(\frac{I_{p(i)}(\mathbf{s}, z)}{\pi_A} \right).$$

It remains only to check that the above expression coincides with

$$\left(M(\gamma, t)(\phi_{\mathbf{r}}), \Psi_{\mathbf{s}} R_{\mathbf{s}} e^{U_{\mathbf{s}}/z} P e_i \right) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}' \in \mathfrak{R}} [M(\gamma, t)]_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}}\, z \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{\mathbf{r}'}} \left(\frac{I_{p(i)}(\mathbf{s}, z)}{\pi_A} \right). \quad \square$$

Let us introduce the linear operators (cf. Corollary 3.1)

$$J(\gamma, t) : H \to H, \quad [J(\gamma, t)]_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}} = \frac{\partial t_{\mathbf{r}'}}{\partial \widetilde{t}_{\mathbf{r}}}$$

and

$$X(\gamma, t) : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}, \quad X(\gamma, t) = 1 - \left(\frac{cz}{ct_{\mathbf{m}} + d} \right) \phi_{\mathbf{m}} \bullet_{\mathbf{s}=0},$$

where $\phi_{\mathbf{m}} \bullet_{\mathbf{s}=0} : H \to H$ is the operator of multiplication by $\phi_{\mathbf{m}}$ in the Jacobi algebra H. Note that $X(\gamma, t)$ is a symplectic transformation.

Proposition 3.4. *The analytic continuation along the loop γ transforms the total ancestor potential $\mathscr{A}_{\mathbf{s}}(\hbar; \mathbf{q})$ into*

$$\left(\widehat{X}(\gamma, t)\, \mathscr{A}_{\mathbf{s}} \right) \left((ct_{\mathbf{m}} + d)^2 \hbar;\, J(\gamma, t)\mathbf{q} \right),$$

where we first apply the operator $\widehat{X}(\gamma, t)$ and then we rescale $\hbar$ and $\mathbf{q}$.

Proof. We may assume that $P(\gamma,t)=1$ because P is a permutation matrix, so its quantization $\widehat{P}$ will leave the product of Kontsevich–Witten tau functions invariant. Put $M=M_0+z\,M_1$. Then we have

$$^{\mathrm{T}}M\,\Psi_{\mathbf{s}}\,R_{\mathbf{s}}\,e^{U_{\mathbf{s}}/z}=\widetilde{\Psi}_{\mathbf{s}}\,\widetilde{R}_{\mathbf{s}}\,e^{U_{\mathbf{s}}/z},\quad\text{where}\quad\widetilde{\Psi}_{\mathbf{s}}=M_0^{-1}\,\Psi_{\mathbf{s}},\quad\widetilde{R}_{\mathbf{s}}=\Psi_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1}M_0\,{}^{\mathrm{T}}M\,\Psi_{\mathbf{s}}\,R_{\mathbf{s}}.$$

The quantization is in general only a projective representation. However, the quantization of the operators $\Psi_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1}\,M_0\,{}^{\mathrm{T}}M\,\Psi_{\mathbf{s}}$ and $R_{\mathbf{s}}$ involves quantizing only p^2 and $p\,q$-terms. Since the cocycle (12) on such terms vanishes we get

$$\left(\widetilde{R}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)\widehat{\ }=\left(\Psi_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1}\,M_0\,{}^{\mathrm{T}}M\,\Psi_{\mathbf{s}}\right)\widehat{\ }\;\widehat{R}_{\mathbf{s}}.$$

The operators M_0 and $\Psi_{\mathbf{s}}$ are independent of z and their quantizations by definition are just changes of variables. Hence

$$\left(\widetilde{\Psi}_{\mathbf{s}}\,\widetilde{R}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)\widehat{\ }=\widehat{M_0}^{-1}\left(M_0\,{}^{\mathrm{T}}M\right)\widehat{\ }\,(\Psi_{\mathbf{s}}\,R_{\mathbf{s}})\widehat{\ }\,.$$

By definition Δ_i^{-1} is $(\partial_{u_i},\partial_{u_i})$, which gains a factor of $(ct_{\mathbf{m}}+d)^{-2}$ under analytic continuation. The ancestor potential (14) is transformed into

$$\widehat{M_0}^{-1}\left(M_0\,{}^{\mathrm{T}}M\right)\widehat{\ }\left(\mathscr{A}_{\mathbf{s}}\left((ct_{\mathbf{m}}+d)^2\hbar;(ct_{\mathbf{m}}+d)\mathbf{q}\right)\right).\tag{24}$$

Note that

$$M_0^{-1}=(ct_{\mathbf{m}}+d)\;J(\gamma,t)^{-1},\quad M_0\,({}^{\mathrm{T}}M)=X(\gamma,t).$$

It remains only to notice that the rescaling

$$(\hbar,\mathbf{q})\mapsto\left((ct_{\mathbf{m}}+d)^2\hbar,(ct_{\mathbf{m}}+d)\mathbf{q}\right)$$

commutes with the action of any quantized operator. □

3.5. **Quasi-modularity.** Let us denote by $'t=(t_{\mathbf{r}})_{\mathbf{r}\in\Re\setminus\{\mathbf{m}\}}$ the non-marginal flat coordinates. It is known that the ancestor potential $\mathscr{A}_{\mathbf{s}}$ depends analytically on $\mathbf{s}\in D$, where $D\subset\mathcal{S}$ is any open domain in which the primitive form is single-valued. In particular for each fixed $\sigma\in\Sigma$, we can take the limit

$$\mathscr{A}_\sigma(\hbar;\mathbf{q}):=\lim_{'t\to 0}\;\mathscr{A}_{\mathbf{s}}(\hbar;\mathbf{q}).$$

For the proof of the above statement see [17], or more generally [16].

The marginal flat coordinate can be written as

$$t_{\mathbf{m}}=\frac{a'\tau+b'}{c'\tau+d'},\quad\tau\in\mathbb{H},\quad\begin{bmatrix}a'&b'\\c'&d'\end{bmatrix}\in\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

We define

$$\bar{t}_{\mathbf{m}}:=\frac{a'\overline{\tau}+b'}{c'\overline{\tau}+d'},$$

where $\bar{\ }$ is the standard conjugation in the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$. Since the analytic continuation transforms τ and $\overline{\tau}$ via the same fractional linear transformation, we get that the analytic transformation along γ transforms t_m and $\bar{t}_{\mathbf{m}}$ respectively into

$$t_{\mathbf{m}} \mapsto \frac{at_{\mathbf{m}} + b}{ct_{\mathbf{m}} + d} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{t}_{\mathbf{m}} \mapsto \frac{a\bar{t}_{\mathbf{m}} + b}{c\bar{t}_{\mathbf{m}} + d}.$$

A direct computation shows that

$$-\frac{1}{t_{\mathbf{m}} - \bar{t}_{\mathbf{m}}} \mapsto -\frac{(ct_{\mathbf{m}} + d)^2}{t_{\mathbf{m}} - \bar{t}_{\mathbf{m}}} + c(ct_{\mathbf{m}} + d).$$

Following [17], we define *anti-holomorphic* completion of the ancestor potential

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_\sigma}(\hbar; \mathbf{q}) = (\widetilde{X}(\sigma, z))\widehat{\ } \mathscr{A}_\sigma(\hbar; \mathbf{q}),$$

where

$$\widetilde{X}(\sigma, z) = 1 - \left(\frac{z}{t_{\mathbf{m}} - \bar{t}_{\mathbf{m}}}\right) \phi_{\mathbf{m}} \bullet_{\mathbf{s}=0} .$$

Proposition 3.4 yields the following corollary (cf. [17]).

Corollary 3.5. *The analytic continuation along γ transforms the modified total ancestor potential as follows.*

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_\sigma}(\hbar; \mathbf{q}) \mapsto \widetilde{\mathscr{A}_\sigma}\Big((ct_{\mathbf{m}} + d)^2\hbar; J(\gamma, \sigma)\mathbf{q}\Big).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\gamma \in \Gamma(W)$, then $\rho_{\neq 0}(\gamma) = J^n$ for some integer n, where J is the classical monodromy operator. Since $J(\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\deg(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{r}})}\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}$, we get that the matrix of $J(\gamma, \sigma)$ is diagonal and we have

$$[J(\gamma, \sigma)]_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}} = (ct_{\mathbf{m}} + d)\, e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\, n \deg(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{r}})}, \quad \mathbf{r} \in \Re_{\mathrm{tw}},$$

and

$$[J(\gamma, \sigma)]_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}} = (ct_{\mathbf{m}} + d)^2, \quad [J(\gamma, \sigma)]_{0,0} = 1.$$

The total ancestor potential is invariant under the rescaling $q_k^{\mathbf{r}} \mapsto e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\, n \deg(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{r}})} q_k^{\mathbf{r}}$, because it is quasi-homegeneous. Hence we may assume that $n = 0$. The statement of the theorem follows from Corollary 3.5 by comparing the coefficients of the monomials in $\mathbf{q}$ and $\hbar$. Each coefficient $\widetilde{c}(t_{\mathbf{m}})$ of the modified potential has the form

$$\widetilde{c}(t_{\mathbf{m}}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_i(t_{\mathbf{m}})}{(t_{\mathbf{m}} - \bar{t}_{\mathbf{m}})^i},$$

where $c_i = 0$ for $i \gg 0$. The analytical continuation of $\widetilde{c}(t_{\mathbf{m}})$ is

$$\widetilde{c}\Big(\frac{at_{\mathbf{m}} + b}{ct_{\mathbf{m}} + d}\Big) = (ct_{\mathbf{m}} + d)^w \widetilde{c}(t_{\mathbf{m}}),$$

where the identity follows from Corollary 3.5 and w is a non-negative integer depending on the monomial (see [17] for more details). □

4. The modular groups $\Gamma(W)$

The computation of $\Gamma(W)$ amounts to computing the monodromy group of several hypergeometric equations of the type

$$x(1-x)y''(x) + (\gamma - (1+\alpha+\beta)x)\,y'(x) - \alpha\beta\, y(x) = 0, \tag{25}$$

where α, β, and γ are positive rational numbers. Let us begin by briefly reviewing the main steps in the computation.

4.1. **Monodromy of the hypergeometric equations.** There are two cases which are used in our work.

4.1.1. *The resonance case.* We assume that $\gamma = \alpha+\beta = 1-1/l$, where l is a positive integer. Near $x = 0$ the hypergeometric equation (25) admits the following basis of solutions:

$$\begin{cases} F_1^{(0)}(x) = \dfrac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}\ {}_2F_1(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;x), \\ F_2^{(0)}(x) = \dfrac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1-\beta)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha-\beta)}\ {}_2F_1(1-\alpha,1-\beta;2-\gamma;x)\,x^{1-\alpha-\beta}. \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

Near $x = 1$ a basis of solutions is given by

$$\begin{cases} F_1^{(1)}(x) = {}_2F_1(\alpha,\beta;1;1-x), \\ F_2^{(1)}(x) = {}_2F_1(\alpha,\beta;1;1-x)\ \ln(1-x) + \displaystyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n(1-x)^n, \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

where

$$b_n = \frac{(\alpha)_n(\beta)_n}{(n!)^2}\Big(\frac{1}{\alpha}+\cdots+\frac{1}{\alpha+n-1}+\frac{1}{\beta}+\cdots+\frac{1}{\beta+n-1}-2\Big(\frac{1}{1}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n}\Big)\Big).$$

Let us denote by $F^{(a)}(x)$ the column vector with entries $F_1^{(a)}(x)$ and $F_2^{(a)}(x)$ for $a = 0, 1$; then the local monodromy around $x = 0$ acts as

$$F^{(0)}(x) \mapsto M_0^T\, F^{(0)}(x); \quad M_0 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi i(1-\alpha-\beta)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that the local monodromy around by $x = 1$ is given by

$$F^{(1)}(x) \mapsto M_1^T\, F^{(1)}(x); \quad M_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2\pi i \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $\psi(z) = \Gamma'(z)/\Gamma(z)$ be the digamma function. Put

$$K_1 = 2\psi(1) - \psi(\alpha) - \psi(\beta), \quad K_2 = 2\psi(1) - \psi(1-\alpha) - \psi(1-\beta). \tag{28}$$

The key to the monodromy computation is the following lemma (see [1]).

Lemma 4.1. *The series $F^{(0)}(x)$ and $F^{(1)}(x)$ are convergent in the region $\{x \in \mathbb{C} : |x| < 1, |1-x| < 1\}$ and the following formula holds:*

$$F^{(0)}(x) \mapsto C^{01} F^{(1)}(x), \quad C^{01} := \begin{bmatrix} K_1 & -1 \\ K_2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

provided the branch of $F^{(1)}(x)$ near $x = 1$ is chosen appropriately.

Proof. The first row of C^{01} directly follows from the formula 15.3.10 in [1]. The second row follows from the first row and the hypergeometric identity

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\gamma+1)\Gamma(\beta-\gamma+1)\Gamma(\gamma-1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(1-\gamma)}\ {}_2F_1(\alpha-\gamma+1,\beta-\gamma+1;2-\gamma;x)\ x^{1-\gamma} \\ &= -\ {}_2F_1(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;x) + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\gamma+1)\Gamma(\beta-\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta-\gamma+1)}\ {}_2F_1(\alpha,\beta;\alpha+\beta-\gamma+1;1-x). \end{aligned}$$

□

The local monodromies M_0, M_1, and the connection matrix C^{01} completely determine the monodromy representation.

4.1.2. *The non-resonance case.* Now we assume that none of the exponents

$$\lambda_0 = 1-\gamma, \quad \lambda_1 = \gamma-\alpha-\beta, \quad \lambda_\infty = \beta-\alpha$$

is an integer. Then we fix the following solutions. Near $x = 0$:

$$\begin{cases} F_1^{(0)}(x) = {}_2F_1(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;x), \\ F_2^{(0)}(x) = {}_2F_1(\alpha-\gamma+1,\beta-\gamma+1;2-\gamma;x)\ x^{1-\gamma}. \end{cases}$$

Near $x = 1$:

$$\begin{cases} F_1^{(1)}(x) = {}_2F_1(\alpha,\beta;\alpha+\beta-\gamma+1;1-x), \\ F_2^{(1)}(x) = {}_2F_1(\gamma-\alpha,\gamma-\beta;\gamma-\alpha-\beta+1;1-x)\ (1-x)^{\gamma-\alpha-\beta}. \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

Let us denote by $F^{(a)}(x)(a = 0, 1)$ the corresponding column vectors. Then the key fact is the following. Just like in the previous case, there is a common contractible domain where both $F^{(0)}$ and $F^{(1)}(x)$ are convergent and hence one can define a connection matrix D^{01}, such that $F^{(0)}(x) \mapsto D^{01} F^{(1)}(x)$.

Lemma 4.2. *The connection matrices are given by the following formulas:*

$$D^{01} = \begin{bmatrix} \dfrac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)\Gamma(\gamma-\beta)} & \dfrac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta-\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \\ \dfrac{\Gamma(2-\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1-\beta)} & \dfrac{\Gamma(2-\gamma)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta-\gamma)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha-\gamma)\Gamma(1+\beta-\gamma)} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{30}$$

4.2. **The group $\widetilde{\Gamma}(W)$.** Recall that $\widetilde{\Gamma}(W) = \mathrm{Im}(\rho_0)$, where

$$\rho_0 : \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{h}_0), \quad \mathfrak{h}_0 = H_2(X_{0,1}; \mathbb{C})^J \cong H_1(E_0; \mathbb{C}),$$

is the J-invariant part of the monodromy representation. Given a basis $\{A, B\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}_0$, we can compute the monodromy action on that basis by computing the monodromy under analytic continuation of the corresponding period integrals $\pi_A(\sigma)$ and $\pi_B(\sigma)$ (see Section 2.2). Let $D = \sigma\partial_\sigma$, then both $\pi_A(\sigma)$ and $\pi_B(\sigma)$ satisfy a second order differential equation

$$D(D-1)\pi(\sigma) - C\,\sigma^l(D + l\alpha)(D + l\beta)\pi(\sigma) = 0, \tag{31}$$

where C is some constant, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\alpha + \beta = 1 - \frac{1}{l}$.

Using the substitution $x = C\,\sigma^l$, the differential equation (31) becomes the standard hypergeometric equation (25) (with $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$), so the monodromy can be computed as explained above. We fix a reference point on $\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ near $x = 1$ and a basis of solutions as (27). Denote by M_0^{HG} and M_1^{HG} the monodromy transformations of the column vector $F^{(1)}(x)$ corresponding to paths going around $x = 0$ and $x = 1$, i.e. $F^{(1)}(x) \mapsto M_a^{HG}\,F^{(1)}(x)$, $a = 0, 1$. We may choose the paths that

$$M_1^{HG} = M_1^T; \quad M_0^{HG} = (C^{01})^{-1}\,M_0^T\,C^{01}, \tag{32}$$

where M_0, M_1, and C^{01} are respectively the local monodromies near $x = 0, 1$ and the matrix giving the analytic continuation from $x = 1$ to $x = 0$ (see Lemma 4.1).

Our substitution $x = C\,\sigma^l$ is a covering $\Sigma - \{0\} \to \mathbb{C} - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ of degree l. Let us denote by M_i^{PF}, $1 \le i \le l$, the monodromy transformations corresponding to loops going around $\sigma = p_i$, which are the singularities of the differential equation (31),

$$p_i = C^{-1/l}\eta^i, \quad \eta = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/l). \tag{33}$$

Since $\Sigma = \mathbb{C}\backslash\{p_1, \ldots, p_l\}$, $\widetilde{\Gamma}(W)$ is generated by M_i^{PF}, $1 \le i \le l$. Lifting the reference point and choosing the loops appropriately, we can arrange that

$$M_i^{PF} = (M_0^{HG})^{i-1}\,M_1^{HG}\,(M_0^{HG})^{1-i}, \quad 1 \le i \le l. \tag{34}$$

It remains only to explain how to find a basis of solutions $\{F_1^{\mathrm{GW}}, F_2^{\mathrm{GW}}\}$ that corresponds to periods of the elliptic curve, i.e.,

$$F_1^{\mathrm{GW}}(\sigma) = \pi_A(\sigma), \quad F_2^{\mathrm{GW}}(\sigma) = \pi_B(\sigma),$$

where $\{A, B\}$ is an integral basis of $H_1(E_0; \mathbb{Z})$, s.t. $A \circ B = 1$. The j-invariant of E_σ has the form

$$j(\sigma) = \frac{P(\sigma)}{(1 - C\,\sigma^l)^N}, \quad P(\sigma) \in \mathbb{C}[\sigma], \tag{35}$$

where the zeroes of the polynomial in the denominator are precisely the singular points in (33). Since we are interested in the matrices of the monodromy transformations, we have the freedom to rescale the above basis by any non-zero constant,

so we may assume that

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_1^{GW} \\ F_2^{GW} \end{bmatrix} = K \begin{bmatrix} F_1^{(1)} \\ F_2^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad K = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{a}{b} & \frac{1}{b} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{36}$$

where $F_1^{(1)}, F_2^{(2)}$ are viewed as multi-valued functions of σ via the substitution $x = C\,\sigma^l$, and a and b are some non-zero constants.

Lemma 4.3. *The solutions F_i^{GW}, $i = 1, 2$, correspond to periods of the elliptic curve (normalized as above) if and only if*

$$a = \frac{1}{N}\left(\ln P(p_1) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}m\right), \quad b = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}/N,$$

where $p_1 = C^{-1/l}$ and m is some integer.

Proof. Since $\tau := F_2^{GW}/F_1^{GW}$ is the modulus of the elliptic curve, we must choose a and b in such a way that $j(\sigma) = 1/q + \cdots$, $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$. Inverting the relation (35) near $\sigma = p_1$, we find:

$$p_1 - \sigma = \frac{e^a}{C\prod_{j=2}^{l}(p_1 - p_j)}\, e^{b\tau}\Big(1 + O(e^{b\tau})\Big).$$

Then the lemma follows from

$$j(\sigma) = \frac{P(p_1)}{e^{N(b\tau+a)}} + \cdots \qquad \square$$

Let us point out that the value of a is fixed only up to $2m\pi\sqrt{-1}/N$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. This corresponds to the fact that while there is a unique choice of an invariant cycle $A \in H_1(E_\sigma;\mathbb{Z})$ near $\sigma = p_1$, so that up to a constant $\pi_A(\sigma)$ agrees with F_1^{GW}, for the second cycle $B \in H_1(E_\sigma;\mathbb{Z})$, we have the freedom to add any integer multiple of A. According to (32), (34), and (36) we have

Lemma 4.4. *Let F^{GW} be the column vector with entries F_1^{GW}, F_2^{GW}. The monodromy transformation along the fixed loop going around $\sigma = p_i$ acts on F^{GW} by*

$$F^{GW} \mapsto M_{i,0}^T\, F^{GW}; \quad M_{i,0}^T = K\, M_i^{PF}\, K^{-1}. \tag{37}$$

As a consequnce, the group $\widetilde{\Gamma}(W)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ generated by $M_{i,0}$, $i = 1, \ldots, l$.

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.2. Some of the computations are quite cumbersome, so it is better to use some computer softawre, e.g. Mathematica or Maple.

4.3. **The Fermat $E_6^{(1,1)}$ case.** The polynomial in this case is

$$f(\sigma, \mathbf{x}) = x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3 + \sigma\, x_1x_2x_3.$$

Its modular group is well known. For the sake of completeness, let us recall the computation. The j-invariant of this family is

$$j(\sigma) = -\frac{\sigma^3(\sigma^3 - 216)^3}{(\sigma^3 + 27)^3}.$$

The weights of the equation (31) are $\alpha = \beta = 1/3$, $\gamma = 2/3$. According to (37), $\widetilde{\Gamma}(W) = \Gamma(3)$ since for three singular points $p_i = -3e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}i/3}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, we have

$$M_{1,0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_{2,0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 3m & 3m^2 \\ -3 & 1 + 3m \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_{3,0} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 - 3m & 3(m-1)^2 \\ -3 & 3m - 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the choice of m depends on the coice of a symplectic basis $\{A, B\}$ in $H_1(E_\sigma; \mathbb{Z})$. For the period integrals $\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma)$, $\mathbf{r} \in \mathfrak{R}_{\mathrm{tw}}$, the monodromy acts on the corresponding flat sections by multiplication of $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\deg\phi_{\mathbf{r}}}$, which is just J or J^2, with J the classical monodromy operator. Thus $\Gamma(W) = \widetilde{\Gamma}(W) = \Gamma(3)$.

4.4. **The Fermat $E_7^{(1,1)}$ case.** The polynomial in this case is

$$f(\sigma, \mathbf{x}) = x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^2 + \sigma\, x_1^2x_2^2.$$

The j-invariant is

$$j(\sigma) = 16\,\frac{(\sigma^2 + 12)^3}{(4 - \sigma^2)^2}.$$

Thus $C = 1/4$, $l = 2$ and $P(\sigma) = (\sigma^2 + 12)^3$.

4.4.1. *Monodromy of the invariant part.* The parameters of the equation (31) are $\alpha = \beta = 1/4$, $\gamma = 1/2$. We consider two singular points $p_1 = 2$ and $p_2 = -2$. According to (37), the monodromy group is generated by the following two matrices:

$$M_{1,0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_{2,0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2m & 2m^2 \\ -2 & 1 + 2m \end{bmatrix},$$

where the choice of m depends on the coice of a symplectic basis $\{A, B\}$ in $H_1(E_\sigma; \mathbb{Z})$. We choose the basis to be such that $m = 0$; then the monodromy group $\widetilde{\Gamma}(W)$ is the group of all matrices

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \quad a \equiv d \equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 4), \quad b \equiv c \equiv 0 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 2).$$

This is an index 2 subgroup of $\Gamma(2)$.

4.4.2. *Monodromy of the twisted sector.* We fix the following basis of the twisted sectors in the Jacobian algebra $\mathscr{Q}_W$:

$$\phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}, \quad \mathbf{r} = \{(100), (010), (200), (110), (020), (210), (120)\}.$$

According to [19], the corresponding period integrals $\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma)$ satisfy

$$(4-\sigma^2)\partial_\sigma \Phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma) = 2\deg(\phi_{\mathbf{r}})\,\sigma\,\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma), \quad \mathbf{r} \neq (200), (020), \tag{38}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (4-\sigma^2)\,\partial_\sigma \Phi_{200}(\sigma) = \dfrac{\sigma}{2}\,\Phi_{200}(\sigma) - \Phi_{020}(\sigma) \\ (4-\sigma^2)\,\partial_\sigma \Phi_{020}(\sigma) = -\Phi_{200}(\sigma) + \dfrac{\sigma}{2}\,\Phi_{020}(\sigma) \end{cases} \tag{39}$$

The monodromy of the equations (38) around $\sigma = p_i$ is straightforward to compute:

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{r}} \mapsto e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\deg(\phi_{\mathbf{r}})}\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}\,. \quad i = 1, 2.$$

For the system (39), we can first obtain a second order differential equation for Φ_{200}, which after the substitution $x = \sigma^2/4$ becomes the hypergeometric equation with weights $\alpha = 3/4, \beta = 1/4, \gamma = 1/2$. It follows that the system can be solved as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{200} \\ \Phi_{020} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_1^{(1)} & F_2^{(1)} \\ LF_1^{(1)} & LF_2^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{100} \\ A_{020} \end{bmatrix} \tag{40}$$

where A_{200} and A_{020} are flat sections of the vanishing cohomology bundle and

$$L = -(4-\sigma^2)\partial_\sigma + \sigma/2.$$

Let us denote by $M_{i,1/4}$ the 2×2 matrix defined by the analytic continuation along a simple loop γ_i around the point $\sigma = p_i$ of the following vector-valued function:

$$F^{(1)} \mapsto M_{i,1/4}^T\, F^{(1)}, \quad F^{(1)} = (F_1^{(1)}, F_2^{(1)})^T,$$

where the reason to use the transposition operation ^{T} will become clear shortly. Since the LHS of (40) consists of holomorphic sections of the vanishing cohomology bundle, it must be invariant under analytic continuation along any loop in Σ. It follows that the monodromy of the flat sections is

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{100} \\ A_{020} \end{bmatrix} \mapsto (M_{i,1/4})^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A_{100} \\ A_{020} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that in the basis $\{\alpha_{100}, \alpha_{020}\}$ dual to $\{A_{100}, A_{020}\}$ the matrix of the monodromy transformation $\rho(\gamma_i)$ is precisely $M_{i,1/4}$. On the other hand, arguing as in Section 4.2, we get

$$M_{i,1/4} = \left((M_0^{HG})^{i-1}\, M_1^{HG}\, (M_0^{HG})^{(1-i)}\right)^T, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where M_0^{HG} and M_1^{HG} are the monodromies of the corresponding hypergeometric equation around $x = 0$ and $x = 1$ respectively. Let us denote by

$$D_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(1-\gamma)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\gamma-\alpha-\beta)} \end{bmatrix}$$

the local monodromies of the hypergeometric equation; then (see Lemma 4.2)

$$M_1^{HG} = D_1^T; \quad M_0^{HG} = (C^{01})^{-1}\, D_0^T\, C^{01}.$$

A straightforward computation yields

$$M_{1,1/4} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_{2,1/4} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The group generated by these two matrices is $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$. Let us point out that this agrees with the so-called Schwarz list (see [15]), since the exponents of the hypergeometric equation are

$$\lambda_0 = 1 - \gamma = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \lambda_1 = \gamma - \alpha - \beta = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \lambda_\infty = \beta - \alpha = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Lemma 4.5. *The kernel* $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho_0) = 0$ *and the modular group* $\Gamma(W) = \Gamma(4)$.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{\Sigma} \to \Sigma$ be the universal cover of Σ and let $\tau : \widetilde{\Sigma} \to \mathbb{H}$ be the map given by the quotient of two periods: $\tau = \pi_B/\pi_A$. Using the Picard-Fuchs equation we find that

$$d\tau = \frac{\mathrm{Wr}(\pi_A, \pi_B)}{\pi_A^2}\, d\sigma \neq 0.$$

By the implicit function theorem τ is a local homeomorphism. Using the j-invariant we see that the map τ is finite and surjective. Finite maps between analytic varieties are proper. It follows that τ is a covering. Finally, since both $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ and $\mathbb{H}$ are simply connected, τ must be an isomorphism.

The monodromy group $\widetilde{\Gamma}(W)$ induces an action on $\mathbb{H}$. If we assume that $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho_0)$ is non-trivial; then we can find two different points on $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ such that their τ-images coincide – contradiction. In particular, the condition (2) is satisfied and the map (3) is well defined. Moreover, using our computation of the monodromy of the twisted periods, we get that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho_W) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$, i.e., we have a surjective group homomorphism

$$\rho_W : \widetilde{\Gamma}(W) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2,$$

which implies that the index of $\operatorname{Ker}\rho_W$ in $\widetilde{\Gamma}(W)$ is 4. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $\Gamma(4)$ can be generated by the following (see [21]) 6 elements

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -4 \\ 16 & -7 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -4 \\ 4 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -16 \\ 4 & -7 \end{bmatrix},$$

We leave it to the reader to check that each of these matrices is in the kernel of ρ_W. For example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -3 & -4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \left((M_{2,0})^{-1} M_{1,0} \right)^2.$$

Under ρ_W the matrix $M_{i,0}$ is mapped to $M_{i,1/4}$. Using the explicit formulas for $M_{i,1/4}$ we get that the above matrix is in the kernel of ρ_W. The remaining 5 matrices are treated in a similar fashion. Hence we have

$$\Gamma(4) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\rho_W \subset \widetilde{\Gamma}(W) \subset \Gamma(2) \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Since the index of $\Gamma(2)$ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is 6 (see [20]), the index of $\operatorname{Ker}(\rho_W)$ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ must be $4\times 2\times 6 = 48$. However, the index of $\Gamma(4)$ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is also 48. The Lemma follows. □

4.5. **The Fermat $E_8^{(1,1)}$ case.** The polynomial in this case is

$$f(\sigma, \mathbf{x}) = x_1^6 + x_2^3 + x_3^2 + \sigma\, x_1^4 x_2.$$

The j-invariant is

$$j(\sigma) = 1728\, \frac{4\sigma^3}{4\sigma^3 + 27}.$$

Thus $C = -\frac{4}{27}$, $l = \frac{1}{3}$ and $P(\sigma) = 256\sigma^3$.

4.5.1. *Monodromy of the invariant part.* The parameters of the hypergeometric equation (25) are $\alpha = \frac{1}{12}, \beta = \frac{7}{12}, \gamma = \frac{2}{3}$. The singular points are

$$p_i = -3 \cdot 4^{-1/3}\, e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(i-1)/3}, \quad 1 \le i \le 3.$$

According to (37), the corresponding monodromy transformations are

$$M_{1,0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_{2,0} = \begin{bmatrix} -m & (1+m)^2 \\ -1 & 2+m \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_{3,0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1-m & m^2 \\ -1 & 1+m \end{bmatrix},$$

where the integer m depends on the choice of a symplectic basis in $H_1(E_{\sigma_0}; \mathbb{Z})$. We choose a basis such that $m = 0$ and simply denote the matrices $M_{i,0}$ by M_i. The

above matrices generate the entire modular group, because it is well known that the matrices in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$

$$S := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = M_1, \quad T := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = M_3\, M_1\, M_3 \;= M_1\, M_3\, M_1$$

are generators of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. It is known that $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ has a presentation in terms of the free group on two generators a and b satisfying the relations

$$aba = bab, \quad (aba)^4 = 1.$$

It follows that $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho_0)$ is the normal subgroup of the free group on 3 generators M_1, M_2, and M_3 generated by the relations

$$M_1M_3M_1 = M_3M_1M_3, \quad (M_1M_3M_1)^4 = 1, \quad M_1M_3 = M_2M_1. \tag{41}$$

4.5.2. *Monodromy of the twisted sector.* We fix a basis of monomials $\phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}$ in the twisted sector of H, where $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3)$ is given by

$$\mathbf{r} = \{(1,0,0), (2,0,0), (0,1,0), (1,1,0), (3,0,0), (2,1,0), (4,0,0), (5,0,0)\}.$$

The Picard-Fuchs equations for $\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma)$, $\mathbf{r} = (1,0,0), (5,0,0)$ have order 1

$$(27 + 4\sigma^3)\partial_\sigma\, \Phi_{\mathbf{r}} = 12\sigma^2 \deg(\phi_{\mathbf{r}})\, \Phi_{\mathbf{r}}.$$

The monodromy of these equations is straightforward to compute:

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{r}} \mapsto e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\deg(\phi_{\mathbf{r}})}\, \Phi_{\mathbf{r}}$$

The remaining periods satisfy three systems of differential equations. Let us describe them and their monodromies. In all three cases we use the substitution $x = -4\sigma^3/27$ to reduce the system to a hypergeometric equation and then the monodromy is computed in the same way as before. We recall from [19] that the first system is

$$\begin{cases} (27 + 4\sigma^3)\partial_\sigma\, \Phi_{k+2,0,0} = -(k+3)\sigma^2\, \Phi_{k+2,0,0} - \dfrac{9(k+1)}{2}\Phi_{k,1,0} \\ (27 + 4\sigma^3)\partial_\sigma\, \Phi_{k,1,0} = \dfrac{3(k+3)\sigma}{2}\Phi_{k+2,0,0} - (k+1)\sigma^2\Phi_{k,1,0} \end{cases}$$

The solutions to the system have the following form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{k+2,0,0} \\ \Phi_{k,1,0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_1^{(1)} & F_2^{(1)} \\ L_kF_1^{(1)} & L_kF_2^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{k+2,0,0} \\ A_{k,1,0} \end{bmatrix} \tag{42}$$

where $A_{k+2,0,0}$ and $A_{k,1,0}$ are flat sections of the vanishing cohomology bundle and L_k is a first order differential operator,

$$L_k := \frac{2}{3(k+3)\sigma}\left((27 + 4\sigma^4)\partial_\sigma\Phi_{k,1,0} + (k+1)\sigma^2\Phi_{k,1,0}\right), \quad k = 0, 1, 2$$

The period $\Phi_{k+2,0,0}$ satisfies the hypergeometric equation with weights

$$(\alpha_k,\beta_k,\gamma_k) = (1/4,3/4,2/3), \quad (1/3,5/6,2/3), \quad (5/12,11/12,2/3), \quad k=0,1,2.$$

It is convenient to decompose $\mathfrak{h}_{\neq 0}$ into eigenspaces $\mathfrak{h}_d$ of the classical monodromy J, where the eigenvalue corresponding to $\mathfrak{h}_d$ is $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}d}$. The monodromy representation $\rho_{\neq 0}$ splits accordingly into a direct sum of representations ρ_d and we denote by $M_{i,d} = [\rho_d(\gamma_i)]$ $(i=1,2,3)$ the matrices of the monodromy representation in an appropriately chosen basis of $\mathfrak{h}_d$. The same argument as in the $E_7^{(1,1)}$-case gives that

$$M_{1,1/3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}/3} \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_{2,1/3} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}} \\ -2+\frac{2i}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{2}-\frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_{3,1/3} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{4}+\frac{i}{4\sqrt{3}} \\ -\frac{4i}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{2}-\frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using computer software one can check that the group generated by these matrices is finite of order 24. Alternatively, since the exponents of the hypergeometric equation are

$$\lambda_0 = 1-\gamma = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \lambda_1 = \gamma-\alpha-\beta = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \lambda_\infty = \beta-\alpha = \frac{1}{2},$$

we find that the hypergeometric equation is in the Schwarz list and that its monodromy group is known to be isomorphic to $A_4\times\mathbb{Z}/2$. It has order 24.

From the system for (Φ_{300},Φ_{110}) we get

$$M_{1,1/2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}/2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_{2,1/2} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{3\sqrt{3}i}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_{3,1/2} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}} \\ -\frac{3\sqrt{3}i}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that these matrices generate a group with 6 elements. Again, we can obtain this from the general theory, since the exponents are

$$\lambda_0 = 1-\gamma = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \lambda_1 = \gamma-\alpha-\beta = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \lambda_\infty = \beta-\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$$

and the hypergeometric equation is again in the Schwarz list. The monodromy group is known to be the dihedral group D_6.

Finally, from the system for (Φ_{400},Φ_{210}) we get

$$M_{1,2/3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-4\pi\sqrt{-1}/3} \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_{2,2/3} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}i}{8} \\ \frac{8}{3}+\frac{8\sqrt{3}i}{9} & \frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_{3,2/3} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{3}{16}+\frac{\sqrt{3}i}{16} \\ -\frac{16i}{3\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}i}{6} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Again the matrices generate a group of order 24, which can also be proved by the general theory, since the exponents are

$$\lambda_0 = 1-\gamma = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \lambda_1 = \gamma-\alpha-\beta = -\frac{2}{3}, \quad \lambda_\infty = \beta-\alpha = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Again, the monodromy group is isomorphic to $A_4\times\mathbb{Z}/2$. One can check that

$$M_{1,2/3}^{-1} = S\, M_{1,1/3}\, S^{-1}, \quad M_{2,2/3}^{-1} = S\, M_{3,1/3}\, S^{-1}, \quad M_{3,2/3}^{-1} = S\, M_{2,1/3}\, S^{-1}, \qquad (43)$$

where S is the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $-4/3$ and 1.

Lemma 4.6. *The condition* (2) *is satisfied and* $\Gamma(W) = \Gamma(6)$.

Proof. For the first part of the Lemma, it is enough to check that the matrices $M_{i,d}$, $1 \le i \le 3$, $d = 1/3, 1/2$ satisfy the relations (41). Note that due to (43) $M_{i,2/3}$ also satisfy the relations. This is a straightforward computation, which we omit.

The homomorphism ρ_W decomposes naturally into $(\rho_{W,1/3}, \rho_{W,1/2}, \rho_{W,2/3})$, where

$$\rho_{W,d} : \widetilde{\Gamma}(W) \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{h}_d)/\mu_6, \quad d = 1/3, 1/2, 2/3.$$

We claim that $\mathrm{Ker}(\rho_{W,1/3}) = \mathrm{Ker}(\rho_{W,2/3}) = \Gamma(3)$. It is easy to find that $\Gamma(3)$ is generated by the following matrices:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 3 \\ -3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We leave it to the reader to check that they belong to the kernel of $\rho_{W,d}$ for $d = 1/3$ and $d = 2/3$. Our claim follows, because the index of $\Gamma(3)$ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is 24 (see [20]). Similarly one can verify that $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho_{W,1/2}) = \Gamma(2)$, so we get

$$\Gamma(W) = \mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho_W) = \mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho_{W,1/3}) \cap \mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho_{W,1/2}) \cap \mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho_{W,2/3}) = \Gamma(3) \cap \Gamma(2) = \Gamma(6). \qquad \square$$

References

[1] Abramowitz, M.; Stegun, I. A.: *Handbook of mathematical functions.* Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Prinitng Office, 1972

[2] Arnold, V.; Gusein-Zade, S.; Varchenko, A.: *Singularities of Differentiable maps.* Vol. II. Monodromy and Asymptotics of Integrals. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston, 1988. viii+492 pp

[3] Chen, Weimin; Ruan, Yongbin: *Orbifold Gromov-Witten theory.* Orbifolds in mathematics and physics. Contemp. Math., 310, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI(2002): 25–85.

[4] Chiodo, Alessandro; Ruan, Yongbin: *Landau-Ginzburg/Calabi-Yau correspondence for quintic three-folds via symplectic transformations.* Invent. Math. 182 (2010), no. 1, 117-165.

[5] Chiodo, Alessandro; Ruan, Yongbin: *A global mirror symmetry framework for the Landau-Ginzburg/Calabi-Yau correspondence.* Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) vol. 61, no. 7 (2011), 2803-2864.

[6] Dubrovin, Boris: *Geometry of 2d Topological Field Theories.* Integrable Systems and Quantum Groups. Lecture Notes in Math. 1620: Springer, Berlin(1996): 120-348

[7] Fan, Huijun; Jarvis, Tyler J.; Ruan, Yongbin: *The Witten equation, mirror symmetry and quantum singularity theory.* To appear in Annals of Mathematics.

[8] Fan, Huijun; Jarvis, Tyler J.; Ruan, Yongbin: *The Witten Equation and Its Virtual Fundamental Cycle.* Book in preparation. Preprint arXiv:0712.4025v3.

[9] Givental, Alexander B.: *Semisimple Frobenius structures at higher genus.* Internat. Math. Res. Notices 2001, no. 23, 1265-1286.

[10] Givental, Alexander B.: *Gromov-Witten invariants and quantization of quadratic Hamiltonians.* Dedicated to the memory of I. G. Petrovskii on the occasion of his 100th anniversary. Mosc. Math. J. 1 (2001), no. 4, 551-568, 645.

[11] Hertling, Claus: *Frobenius Manifolds and Moduli Spaces for Singularities.* Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 151. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. x+270 pp.
[12] Klein, Felix: *Vorlesungen über die Hypergeometrische Funktionen.* Springer, 1933
[13] Krawitz, Marc: *FJRW rings and Landau-Ginzburg Mirror Symmetry.* Ph.D. thesis, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, 2010.
[14] Krawitz, Marc; Shen, Yefeng: *Landau-Ginzburg/Calabi-Yau Correspondence of all Genera for Elliptic Orbifold* $\mathbb{P}^1$. arXiv:1106.6270
[15] Matsuda, Michihiko: *Lectures on algebraic solutions of hypergeometric differential equations.* Lectures in Mathematics **15**, Tokyo (1985)
[16] Milanov, Todor: *Analyticity of the total ancestor potential in singularity theory.* Preprint arXiv: 1303.3103.
[17] Milanov, Todor; Ruan, Yongbin: *Gromov-Witten theory of elliptic orbifold* $\mathbb{P}^1$ *and quasi-modular forms.* Preprint arXiv:1106.2321v1.
[18] Milanov, Todor; Ruan, Yongbin; Shen, Yefeng: *Gromov–Witten theory and cycled-valued modular forms.* Preprint arXiv:1206.3879v1.
[19] Milanov, Todor; Shen, Yefeng: *Global mirror symmetry for invertible simple elliptic singularities.* Preprint arXiv: 1210.6862
[20] Miyake, Toshitsune: *Modular forms.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. x+335 pp
[21] Mumford, David: *Tata lectures on Theta.* Birkhüser Basel, 1990. XVI+236 p.
[22] Ruan, Yongbin: *The Witten equation and the geometry of the Landau-Ginzburg model.* String-Math 2011, 209-240, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 85, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.
[23] Saito, Kyoji: *On Periods of Primitive Integrals, I.* Preprint RIMS(1982)
[24] Saito, Kyoji: *Einfach-elliptische Singularitäten*. Invent. Math. 23(1974): 289–325
[25] Saito, Kyoji; Takahashi, Atsushi: *From primitive forms to Frobenius manifolds.* From Hodge theory to integrability and TQFT tt*-geometry, 31-48, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 78, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
[26] Saito, Morihiko: *On the structure of Brieskorn lattice*. Ann. Inst. Fourier **39** (1989), 27–72
[27] Schwarz, H.A.: *Ueber diejenigen Fälle in welchen die Gaussichen hypergeometrische Reihe eine algebraische Function ihres vierten Elementes darstellt*. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **75**(1873): 292335

Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), Todai Institutes for Advanced Study, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan
E-mail address: todor.milanov@ipmu.jp

Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), Todai Institutes for Advanced Study, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan
E-mail address: yefeng.shen@ipmu.jp